

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,873	02/11/2000	Derek L Collison	TEKN004/01US	5804
7:	590 08/04/2003		•	·
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BLVD. SEVENTH FLOOR		HO, THE T		
LOS ANGELE	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

······································	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
Advisory Action	09/502,873	COLLISON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The Thanh Ho	2126	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application of the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in	ıed
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MF R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of unt of the fee. The appropriate of	extension extension ction; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		•
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyir	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	lment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	/
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
		Sular	7
. Patent and Trademark Office	<del></del>		



Continuation of 10. Other:

The amendment filed 7/18/2003 has been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because applicant argues the same arguments as set forth in the Amendment received 2/27/2003. See Examiner's rejection argument in the Fina Rejection.

Regarding the argument of "publisher application monitoring whether a subscriber application has received each message" (Remarks, page 12), both the cited reference (Skeen) and the dependent claim 6 of the application disclose the same procedure of "monitoring" in which: the publisher application monitors the receipt of the message by waiting for an acknowledgment message from the subscriber application indicating that all packets have been successfully received (Skeen, line 66 column 42 to line 7 column 43). The reference meets the limitation as claimed.

Sulas